

From: Steve
Sent: 9 Sep 2016 12:03:48 -0400
To: PI
Subject: 161189/DPP - Additional Information to Objection

Good Afternoon,

Following my call to your office this afternoon, it was confirmed by me that I had missed some information from my original objection and a colleague advised this would be added to my original objection.

1. There is proposed roof top garden, now two are planned. This encroaches more in privacy being imposed on tenants in the surrounding area, also one key lock would be insufficient to keep the tenants away from these areas. In addition it is a resting ground for birds of prey, a current major issue for tenants and the Council already and this update will only add to this.
2. There is large number of people using online shopping these days and no doubt the 100+ tenants will be utilising this, a major junction of George Street already inundated with this already will where cars (taxis), vans and lorries already do this and even park on double yellow lines will increase with this development and thus be a health and safety issue for the general public where the vehicles may and probable will park on yellow lines, park up on the kerb and if necessary double park and all parties will be at risk.
3. With the objections outlined in the original objection, there has been no sufficient change to the development to state the new proposal other than the drop off one floor and the addition of a roof top garden - therefore the surrounding residents will still have their life's impacted.
4. Lack of access to the site for Emergency Services if this is required - hopefully this will be never needed, but a prevention of this is better than after mirth if it was to happen.
5. Noise pollution, personally working on a shift pattern and none of my days are 9 to 5 that would suit this development, therefore my time of rest to support my employment that caters for supporting autism could be at risk as my rest periods and days would be disrupted with this.

Could you please confirm this addition has been received and will be presented along with my original objection?